UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/541,356 | 03/06/2006 | Nobuo Oyama | 2005_1100A | 1114 | | |
| | 7590 05/13/201 , LIND & PONACK, I | EXAMINER | | | | |
| 1030 15th Stree Suite 400 East | t, N.W., | GREENE, DANIEL LAWSON | | | | |
| Washington, DO | C 20005-1503 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| | | | 3694 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| | | | 05/13/2010 | ELECTRONIC | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| 10/541,356 | OYAMA, NOBUO | | | |
| Examiner | A (11 '4 | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | |

| | DANIEL L. | GREENE JR. | | 3694 | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the c | over sheet wi | th the co | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>08 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods: | n the same da replies: (1) a eal (with appe | ny as filing a No n amendment, eal fee) in comp | otice of A affidavit, oliance w | ppeal. To avoid abar or other evidence, w vith 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 | Advisory Action later than SIX M (b). ONLY CHE | , or (2) the date s MONTHS from th | e mailing | date of the final rejectio | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the patension and the shortened state than three mo | e corresponding a story period for re | amount of eply origina | the fee. The appropria ally set in the final Offic | te extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof | (37 CFR 41.37 | 7(e)), to a | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration a | | | | cause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | | - | | ne issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | - | ally rejec | cted claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | 21. See attac | | Non-Com | npliant Amendment (F | PTOL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if sub | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | |) □ will l | be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>10 and 12</u> . | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> i y and was no | rejections unde t earlier presen | r appeal ted. See | and/or appellant fails a 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatic REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s) | | | |
| /James P Trammell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3694 | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment to claim 1 and arguments in support thereof raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search that goes beyond the cursory review normally afforded after final responses. Further the proposed amendments do not place the application in berrer form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.